

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/752,709 01/08/2004		John H. Hayes	010628.50474C3	5556
23911	7590 07/11/2005		EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP			CONLEY, FREDRICK C	
INTELLECT	UAL PROPERTY GRO	OUP		
P.O. BOX 14300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			3673	

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s)
HAYES, JOHN H.

10/752,709 Examiner

Art Unit

FREDRICK C. CONLEY

3673

Advisory Action					
Before the Fili	ing of an Ap	peal Brief			

ontinuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 24 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDIT 1. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an an places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with application in Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	ng a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of nendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which ppeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or
following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the	mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) NONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 3 been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amo CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)
NOTICE OF APPEAL	4.27 mount by filed within two months of the date
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 C Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time AMENDMENTS 	FR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date o (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or sea (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by appeal; and/or	materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding numbe NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	r of finally rejected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Noti	ce of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOI -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	oc of from Compilation (in 102 02 1).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in the non-allowable claim(s). 	n a separate, timely filed amendment canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or append The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the dat because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons w and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier.	under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10.	claims after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the As to the Applicant's arguments concerning U.S. Pat. No. 6,725,485, previous	prosecution of any such US Application
notwithstanding, the rejection involving Tokunaga and Parker is tenable for the regards to the Applicant's argument the cover sheet 29 disclosed by Tokunage mattress 4 as shown in figure 10C. Therefor, the cover sheet inherenty cover the opening 5 and the attachement of the cover sheet. Tokunaga discoses a Parker discloses a mattress having a sheet covering the inside perimeter of a whiole would provide a sheet that covers the top and perimeter portions of the being soiled while by a patient. The Applicant relies on broad structural languinvention over the prior art of record.	e reasons set forth in the last office action. With a is clearly attached to a top surface of the s a top surface of the mattress that lies between mattress having a sheet that covers an opening in opening. The combinartion of references as a copening in order to protect the mattress from lage that fails to clearly distinguish the present
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-13. ☐ Other:	alimmacen
	ALISON PICKARD PRIMARY EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application No. Part of Paper No. 20050628